24

25

1 (The following proceedings were had in open court:) 2 THE CLERK: Case 22 C 125, Henry v. Brown. 3 THE COURT: Good afternoon. So welcome to anybody 4 who is listening on the phone. I don't know who wants to give 5 your appearances for the record here. Anybody want to? 6 Nobody's jumping for the podium. 7 MR. FRIEDLAND: On the phone you mean, your Honor? 8 THE COURT: No, here. 9 MR. NORMAND: Edward Normand, Freedman Normand 10 Friedland, for the plaintiffs. 11 MR. GILBERT: Robert Gilbert, Gilbert Litigators, for 12 the plaintiffs 13 MS. NOTEWARE: Ellen Noteware from Berger Montague for the plaintiffs. 14 15 MR. GRINGER: Good afternoon, your Honor. 16 Gringer from Wilmer Hale for Penn. 17 MR. FENSKE: Good afternoon, your Honor, Dan Fenske 18 and Britt Miller from Mayer Brown for Georgetown. 19 MR. RYBNICEK: Good afternoon, your Honor. 20 Rybnicek from Freshfields for MIT. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So if I'm -- if I got everything 22 down right, we have a hearing date in the middle of July on 23 the motion for final approval of the however many settlements 24 there are. It's something like July the 19th. And so that's 25 not really on the table today.

And the status report, basically, largely contains a discussion about confidentiality and sealing and things like that, and I think I put in an order saying that everybody ought to be prepared to talk about this today. So that's what I'd kind of like to do.

And I know we have people on the phone, but the phone thing is just kind of a courtesy for people who didn't want to come. This was always going to be an in-person hearing. And I guess my inclination -- that's why I was counting the room here. I think we've got about 16 people. My inclination would basically just be to have a discussion without the court reporter about this just so we can kind of have an exchange of views because I'm not ruling on anything today. I just want to talk about parameters and get people's input and thoughts and give you kind of what my thinking is. And so that's -- unless somebody wants to jump up and say, no, there's somebody really crucial on the phone that has to be able to hear, in which case, do that right now.

(Brief pause.)

THE COURT: Okay. Nobody jumped up.

So is there anything -- before we talk about sealing issues, is there anything else that anybody wants to talk about today? Any other issues that anybody wants to put on the table?

Mr. Gringer.

MR. GRINGER: Sure, your Honor.

THE COURT: The only way the phone people can hear you is if you're talking into a mic.

MR. GRINGER: These are, I think, very quick good news. In our status report, we had an issue about expert -- the timing of expert reports based on receipt of backup materials. The parties have agreed that defendants' expert reports will be due on August 7th, which is ten days after they're currently due in the schedule, and that should not necessitate any other changes to the case management order.

THE COURT: I'm good with that. That's just fine, obviously.

MR. GRINGER: The other issue that I think we would benefit from the Court's guidance, we had noted in our status report that we had just received some declarations from plaintiffs after the close of discovery. You know, we haven't finalized our position yet, but it does to us appear that we may wish to take some discovery from one school's declarants via documents or potentially depositions. I don't think there's an objection from the plaintiffs to that so long as if there is a deposition, they get some time, which I think would be normal and understood. So I don't know if the Court, you know --

THE COURT: So maybe I'm looking at the wrong status report. The one I'm looking at is dated June the 11th.

```
1
              MR. GRINGER: Yes.
 2
              THE COURT: It doesn't talk about declarations.
                                                               Ιt
 3
    talks about new discovery requests.
 4
              MR. GRINGER: Well, the source of those new discovery
 5
     requests was because we got --
 6
              THE COURT: Ah.
              MR. GRINGER: -- declarations from certain settling
 7
 8
    defendants.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
              MR. GRINGER: And we were evaluating --
11
              THE COURT: Declarations, you said, from settling
12
    defendants?
13
              MR. GRINGER: Correct. And that may necessitate --
14
     that were in the plaintiffs' possession.
15
              THE COURT:
                          Now I understand. You might think you
16
    need to take depositions of those people.
17
              MR. GRINGER: I think a very small number, but
18
    perhaps one or two of a single settling defendant.
19
              THE COURT: Somebody remind me what the dispositive
20
    motion deadline is.
21
                            It is in -- I want to say January.
              MR. GRINGER:
22
              THE COURT: So that's the date that's not going to
23
    move.
24
              MR. GRINGER:
                            Certainly.
25
              THE COURT: That's not the date that's going to move.
```

1 MR. GRINGER: Understood. 2 THE COURT: As long as everybody understands that. 3 It's not the first time I've said that. As long as everybody 4 understands that, if you can come up with some agreement to 5 take depositions beyond the -- beyond the fact discovery 6 cutoff date, which I know has come and gone, I'm okay with 7 that. That's fine. 8 MR. GRINGER: Thank you, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: If you don't agree, then somebody's going 10 to have to come in here and make a motion of some sort. 11 MR. GRINGER: Understood. 12 THE COURT: Does that give you enough info to go 13 ahead? 14 MR. GRINGER: It does here. 15 MR. NORMAND: That's fine, your Honor. As 16 Mr. Gringer says, we're okay with the depositions. We 17 understand why they're asking for them. It sounds like a 18 small number, and we would just want some commensurate time 19 even if we're not taking the lead on taking the deposition. 20 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm sure you can work that all out. 21 MR. NORMAND: We can work it out. 22 THE COURT: Anything else anybody can think of? 23 Okay. So since I don't know who is on the phone, 24 we're going to cut off the phone. We're just -- I don't have 25 my jury -- since I'm -- the tradeoff for getting a corner

1 office that's bigger in here is that they take it out of your 2 jury room. So I have a small jury room; bigger chambers. We 3 don't have enough room in the jury room for 16 people, at 4 least I don't think so. It would be pretty tight in there and 5 no other room. So I'm just going to sit here and people can kind of gather around the tables. 6 7 So we're going to cut off the phone because we're going to talk about stuff that's under seal or, by definition, 8 9 under seal at the moment. So we're going off the record and 10 we're cutting off the phone. 11 (Discussion off the record.) 12 (Which were all the proceedings had in the above-entitled 13 cause on the day and date aforesaid.) 14 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 15 /s/ Carolyn R. Cox, RPR, F/CRR June 18, 2024 16 Official Court Reporter United States District Court 17 Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25